
# Document Management at BTeV


Rob Kutschke July 17, 2003


Public access to the BTeV document database: http://www-btev.fnal.gov/cgi-bin/public/DocDB/DocumentDatabase

### What is a Document?

- User view: Everything is a document.
  - Text, figures, digital photos, sound, movies, CAD drawings, blueprints, html, scanned scribbling on a napkin, a note that Lory keeps our copy of the book ...
  - Conference talks, publications, group meeting talks, posters, EOI, Proposal, TDR, internal notes, email from lab director, vendor quotes, living documents ...
- Technical view: Meta-data + files.
  - "Unlimited" number and types of files per document.
  - "Unlimited" number of versions per document.
    - All versions retained.







### Meta-Data for 1 Version of 1 Doc


- Title
- Requestor
- Author(s)
- Dates of creation and modifications.
- Document type ( note, talk, poster ... )
- Abstract

- Security info.
- Topics and keywords
- Short comment about each file in the doc.
- If applicable:
  - Publication info.
  - Link to conference info.

# The Underlying Technology

- Meta-data: MySQL database.
- Documents are files in a unix filesystem.
  - Actual files are stored, not urls. No link rot.
  - One subdirectory per version of each doc.
- Web interface for viewing, adding, modifying and searching documents (Perl/cgi).
  - Has detailed online help.
  - Interface is very, very well done.
- Web interface for administrator functions.
  - Admins do not need to know SQL etc.

# Security: Nested Groups



- .htaccess (per doc version).
- Group password.
- Parent group may read files of child group.
- One doc may have both RTES and Review authorizations.

- Review account enabled only during reviews.
- System is extensible.
- Coming soon: separate authorization for read and for add/modify.

# Does not Replace Web Pages

- This does not replace handcrafted web pages.
  - When a list of relevant documents only makes sense if supplied with context information.
  - When you want to highlight a particular set of documents.
    - BTeV: "Proposal, TDR and related documents".
- Can link from a web page to:
  - The meta-data display for a document.
  - Any file in any version of a document.
  - Any file in the highest version of a document.
  - The search engine ????

# Social Engineering

- This is as important as the software engineering.
- People need to use this tool in a way that others will understand.
- Maintain topics and keywords, educate people in their use, and get people to use them.
- File formats: if someone uses a format which not all can read, they should also provide a .pdf copy.
- Are a conference talk and its associated proceedings one document or two?

# Structure vs Flexibility

- Must get this tradeoff right.
- Topics:
  - Administratively defined. Every document must have one or more topics from the predefined list.
- Keywords:
  - Free form. No administrative control
  - Subgroups develop policies for their own internal use.
    Conformance controlled by peer pressure.
- BTeV: early on there were lots of revisions in the topic list. Working well now.

# User Experience

- BTeV had a killer app:
  - Great utility for meetings with multi-way video conferencing.
  - Quickly accepted by the collaboration.
    - Learning curve was fast.
  - Reviewers liked it.
- Beams division played with a fancier security scheme, gave that up and quickly adopted it (almost?) as is.

# Support Requirements

- Technical support: O(1 FTE day) to setup + O(a few FTE hours/month) to maintain (assuming an existing server).
- Configure the DocDB:
  - Create list of authors/requestors (hours)
  - Need input from throughout the division, both low and high level staff.
    (5-10 people for ~1 hour, ~5 times?)
    - Any changes to the meta data?
    - List of topics and guidelines for keywords.
    - Define security groups.
  - Ongoing component: (5-10 people for ~1 hour, a few times/year )?
- User education at startup (a few FTE weeks)
  - Should decay away with a half life of weeks.
- Adding new features will take more effort (???).
- What if we port to a non-linux server: (???).

#### In the works

- New security: separate read and write authorization. Still using group password.
  - See Eric Vaandering ( <a href="mailto:ewv@fnal.gov">ewv@fnal.gov</a> ).
- Better support for meeting agendae.

### Wish List

- Full text search ( now only meta-data is searchable ).
  - Fully general solution is hard (how do you search postscript?).
- Automagic conversion to PDF from proprietary formats so that users without the proprietary software can view the document.

### Questions and Recommendations

- Does the security model meets the needs of the division?
  - Most variations I can think of would require more resources to keep it up to date.
- I strongly recommend this as the way to maintain CD documents for CD people and their non-CD co-workers.
- I do not recommend it, as is, as the sole way to present any CD document to non-CD people.
  - My guess is that we still need some hand maintained web pages for documents accessed either frequently or primarily by new users.
  - Such web pages could, of course, link into documents which live in the DocDB. This gives us the best of both worlds.

### What about the Wiki

- A public scribble pad.
  - Old versions are kept but access is a little clumsy.
  - No meta data, only the living document.
  - Great for free form discussion of developing ideas
    - Not everyone can work this way.
    - Not as good for work which requires externally imposed structure to maintain order.
- This is not a document management tool.
  - It solves a totally different problem.

# Backup Slides

### Plugs

- Main work done by Eric Vaandering (Vanderbilt) and Lynn Garren.
- Many ideas but no code taken from NuMI Notes (Liz Buckley-Geer).
- I think that this is well designed, well executed and it has made a big improvement to the way we work at BTeV.

### Miscellaneous Comments

- Intro page has the last n days worth of stuff.
- Email alerts
- Some interfaces can be customized using cookies.
- Reviewers like it.
- Searches return info only about docs you may see.

# Security

- Web server username/password to grant access.
  - "Group password" style.
- Granularity: per version of document.
- BTeV's original security heirarchy:
  - Access to files:
    - Executive may view any document.
    - BTeV may view any non-Executive document.
    - Public may view only public documents.
  - DocDBAdmin may perform admin functions.

# Security ...

- General model: nested subgroups, each more restricted.
- BTeV used this model to define two new security levels as subgroups of the BTeV group.
  - RTES
    - Document may be viewed/modified using any of the RTES, BTeV or Executive passwords.
  - Review
    - Document may be viewed using any of the Review, BTeV or Executive passwords.
    - Reviewers may not add/modify documents.
    - The review password is given to authorized reviewers for the duration of a review and it is inactivated after the review has concluded.

# Support – Startup (1)

- Hardware: depending on the load, this can run on an existing server. (zero to small additional work)
- Software: install web server S/W, MySQL, perl. (small)
- Install DocDB software. (hours)
- Configure DocDB:
  - Create list of legal authors/requestors ( hours )
  - Decide on details. Need input from throughout the division, both low and high level staff. (5-10 people for 1 hour, 5 times?)
    - Any changes to the meta data?
    - List of topics and guidelines for keywords.
    - Define security group heirarchy.

# Support – Startup (2)

- Staff training ( a few FTE weeks plus an hour per general user? )
  - Train administrators.
  - Write two page intro document for general users.
  - May need to spin the online help differently.
  - BTeV experience may not be relevant.
- Entry of legacy documents (huge effort if required).

# Support - Ongoing

- Maintain hardware and underlying software. Backups.
  - Zero to small additional work?
- Install updates of DocDB software (small, unless you want a lot of new features)
- Maintain author and topic lists (5-30 secretary minutes/day ??)
- Bug and user-screwup fixing (small at BTeV).
- A more sophisticated security scheme might well have greater support requirements. (???).
- Handholding/ongoing training (at BTeV this had a half-life of weeks everyone learned from their friend)